

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

HOUSE BILL NO. 159
AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE
(Proposed by the Senate Committee on General Laws and Technology
on _____)
(Patron Prior to Substitute—Delegate Simon)

A BILL to amend and reenact § 2.2-3713 of the Code of Virginia, relating to the Virginia Freedom of Information Act; proceedings for enforcement; petition for mandamus or injunction.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

1. That § 2.2-3713 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted as follows:

§ 2.2-3713. Proceedings for enforcement of chapter.

A. Any person, including the attorney for the Commonwealth acting in his official or individual capacity, denied the rights and privileges conferred by this chapter may proceed to enforce such rights and privileges by filing a petition for mandamus or injunction, supported by an affidavit showing good cause. Such petition may be brought in the name of the person notwithstanding that a request for public records was made by the person's attorney in his representative capacity. Venue for the petition shall be addressed as follows:

1. In a case involving a local public body, to the general district court or circuit court of the county or city from which the public body has been elected or appointed to serve and in which such rights and privileges were so denied;

2. In a case involving a regional public body, to the general district or circuit court of the county or city where the principal business office of such body is located; and

3. In a case involving a board, bureau, commission, authority, district, institution, or agency of the state government, including a public institution of higher education, or a standing or other committee of the General Assembly, to the general district court or the circuit court of the residence of the aggrieved party or of the City of Richmond.

B. In any action brought before a general district court, a corporate petitioner may appear through its officer, director or managing agent without the assistance of counsel, notwithstanding any provision of law or Rule of Supreme Court of Virginia to the contrary.

C. Notwithstanding the provisions of § 8.01-644, the petition for mandamus or injunction shall be heard within seven days of the date when the same is made, provided *that the FOIA officer designated by the public body or the party against whom the petition is brought has received a copy of the petition at least three*

31 working days prior to filing. However, if the petition or the affidavit supporting the petition for mandamus or
32 injunction alleges violations of the open meetings requirements of this chapter, the three-day notice to the
33 party against whom the petition is brought shall not be required. The hearing on any petition made outside of
34 the regular terms of the circuit court of a locality that is included in a judicial circuit with another locality or
35 localities shall be given precedence on the docket of such court over all cases that are not otherwise given
36 precedence by law. *Nothing in this section shall be construed to require service of process by a sheriff or*
37 *private process server when a petition for mandamus or injunction to enforce rights granted under this*
38 *chapter has been filed.*

39 D. The petition shall allege with reasonable specificity the circumstances of the denial of the rights and
40 privileges conferred by this chapter. A single instance of denial of the rights and privileges conferred by this
41 chapter shall be sufficient to invoke the remedies granted herein. If the court finds the denial to be in
42 violation of the provisions of this chapter, the petitioner shall be entitled to recover reasonable costs,
43 including costs and reasonable fees for expert witnesses, and attorney fees from the public body if the
44 petitioner substantially prevails on the merits of the case, unless special circumstances would make an award
45 unjust. In making this determination, a court may consider, among other things, the reliance of a public body
46 on an opinion of the Attorney General or a decision of a court that substantially supports the public body's
47 position.

48 E. In any action to enforce the provisions of this chapter, the public body shall bear the burden of proof to
49 establish an exclusion by a preponderance of the evidence. No court shall be required to accord any weight to
50 the determination of a public body as to whether an exclusion applies. Any failure by a public body to follow
51 the procedures established by this chapter shall be presumed to be a violation of this chapter.

52 F. Failure by any person to request and receive notice of the time and place of meetings as provided in
53 § 2.2-3707 shall not preclude any person from enforcing his rights and privileges conferred by this chapter.