

25105058D

SENATE BILL NO. 892  
AMENDMENT IN THE NATURE OF A SUBSTITUTE  
(Proposed by the Senate Committee for Courts of Justice  
on January 15, 2025)

(Patron Prior to Substitute—Senator Sturtevant)

A BILL to amend and reenact §§ 8.01-20.1, 8.01-50.1, and 16.1-83.1 of the Code of Virginia, relating to medical malpractice; certification of expert witness; written opinion.

Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:

1. That §§ 8.01-20.1, 8.01-50.1, and 16.1-83.1 of the Code of Virginia are amended and reenacted as follows:

§ 8.01-20.1. Certification of expert witness opinion at time of service of process.

A. Every ~~motion for judgment~~ *complaint*, counter claim, or third party claim in a medical malpractice action, at the time the plaintiff *first* requests service of process upon a defendant, or requests a defendant to accept service of process, shall be deemed a certification that the plaintiff has obtained from an expert witness whom the plaintiff reasonably believes would qualify as an expert witness pursuant to subsection A of § 8.01-581.20 a written opinion signed by the expert witness that, ~~based upon a reasonable understanding of the facts, the defendant for whom service of process has been requested deviated from the applicable standard of care and the deviation was a proximate cause of the injuries claimed~~ *states:*

*BASED UPON A REASONABLE UNDERSTANDING OF THE FACTS, THE DEFENDANT FOR WHOM SERVICE OF PROCESS HAS BEEN REQUESTED DEVIATED FROM THE APPLICABLE STANDARD OF CARE AND THE DEVIATION WAS A PROXIMATE CAUSE OF THE INJURIES CLAIMED.*

*No further statement or opinion from the expert witness shall be required for the purposes of this section. The plaintiff may have separate certifications for standard of care and causation. Each defendant who is the subject of an expert witness's certification shall be identified in the certification.*

B. This certification is not necessary if the plaintiff, in good faith, alleges a medical malpractice action that asserts a theory of liability where expert testimony is unnecessary because the alleged act of negligence clearly lies within the range of the jury's common knowledge and experience.

C. The certifying expert shall not be required to be an expert witness expected to testify at trial nor shall any defendant be entitled to discover the identity or qualifications of the certifying expert or the nature of the certifying expert's opinions. Should the certifying expert be identified as an expert expected to testify at trial, the opinions and bases therefor shall be discoverable pursuant to Rule 4:1 of the Rules of Supreme Court of Virginia with the exception of the expert's status as a certifying expert.

~~Upon written request of any~~ *D. Within 21 days of an answer being filed by a defendant upon whom service of process has been requested, the plaintiff shall, within 10 business days after receipt of such request, provide the certify to such defendant with a certification form that affirms that the plaintiff had obtained the necessary certifying expert opinion at the time service was requested or affirms that the plaintiff did not need to obtain a certifying expert witness opinion by including a statement that reads: THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAS COMPLIED WITH § 8.01-20.1 OF THE CODE OF VIRGINIA.* The court, upon good cause shown, may conduct an in camera review of the certifying expert opinion obtained by the plaintiff as the court may deem appropriate. If the plaintiff did not obtain a necessary certifying expert opinion at the time the plaintiff requested service of process on a defendant as required under this section, the court shall impose sanctions according to the provisions of § 8.01-271.1 and may dismiss the case with prejudice.

§ 8.01-50.1. Certification of expert witness opinion at time of service of process.

A. Every ~~motion for judgment~~ *complaint*, counter claim, or third party claim in any action pursuant to § 8.01-50 for wrongful death against a health care provider, at the time the plaintiff *first* requests service of process upon a defendant, or requests a defendant to accept service of process, shall be deemed a certification that the plaintiff has obtained from an expert witness whom the plaintiff reasonably believes would qualify as an expert witness pursuant to subsection A of § 8.01-581.20 a written opinion signed by the expert witness that, ~~based upon a reasonable understanding of the facts, the defendant for whom service of process has been requested deviated from the applicable standard of care and the deviation was a proximate cause of the injuries claimed~~ *states:*

*BASED UPON A REASONABLE UNDERSTANDING OF THE FACTS, THE DEFENDANT FOR WHOM SERVICE OF PROCESS HAS BEEN REQUESTED DEVIATED FROM THE APPLICABLE STANDARD OF CARE AND THE DEVIATION WAS A PROXIMATE CAUSE OF THE INJURIES CLAIMED.*

*No further statement or opinion from the expert shall be required for the purposes of this section. The plaintiff may have separate certifications for standard of care and causation. Each defendant who is the subject of an expert witness's certification shall be identified in the certification.*

B. This certification is not necessary if the plaintiff, in good faith, alleges in his wrongful death action a

SENATE SUBSTITUTE

SB892S1

1/28/25 14:32

60 medical malpractice theory of liability where expert testimony is unnecessary because the alleged act of  
61 negligence clearly lies within the range of the jury's common knowledge and experience.

62 C. The certifying expert shall not be required to be an expert expected to testify at trial nor shall any  
63 defendant be entitled to discover the identity or qualifications of the certifying expert or the nature of the  
64 certifying expert's opinions. Should the certifying expert be identified as an expert expected to testify at trial,  
65 the opinions and bases therefor shall be discoverable pursuant to Rule 4:1 of the Rules of Supreme Court of  
66 Virginia with the exception of the expert's status as a certifying expert.

67 ~~Upon written request of any D. Within 21 days of an answer being filed by a defendant upon whom~~  
68 ~~service of process has been requested, the plaintiff shall, within 10 business days after receipt of such~~  
69 ~~request, provide the certify to such defendant with a certification form that affirms that the plaintiff had~~  
70 ~~obtained the necessary certifying expert opinion at the time service was requested or affirms that the plaintiff~~  
71 ~~did not need to obtain a certifying expert witness opinion by including a statement that reads: THIS IS TO~~  
72 ~~CERTIFY THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAS COMPLIED WITH § 8.01-50.1 OF THE CODE OF VIRGINIA.~~ The  
73 court, upon good cause shown, may conduct an in camera review of the certifying expert opinion obtained by  
74 the plaintiff as the court may deem appropriate. If the plaintiff did not obtain a necessary certifying expert  
75 opinion at the time the plaintiff requested service of process on a defendant as required under this section, the  
76 court shall impose sanctions according to the provisions of § 8.01-271.1 and may dismiss the case with  
77 prejudice.

78 **§ 16.1-83.1. Certification of expert witness opinion at time of service of process.**

79 A. Every warrant in debt, counter claim, or third party claim in a medical malpractice action, at the time  
80 the plaintiff *first* requests service of process upon a defendant, or requests a defendant to accept service of  
81 process, shall be deemed a certification that the plaintiff has obtained from an expert whom the plaintiff  
82 reasonably believes would qualify as an expert witness pursuant to subsection A of § 8.01-581.20 a written  
83 opinion signed by the expert witness that, ~~based upon a reasonable understanding of the facts, the defendant~~  
84 ~~for whom service of process has been requested deviated from the applicable standard of care and the~~  
85 ~~deviation was a proximate cause of the injuries claimed states:~~

86 *BASED UPON A REASONABLE UNDERSTANDING OF THE FACTS, THE DEFENDANT FOR WHOM*  
87 *SERVICE OF PROCESS HAS BEEN REQUESTED DEVIATED FROM THE APPLICABLE STANDARD OF*  
88 *CARE AND THE DEVIATION WAS A PROXIMATE CAUSE OF THE INJURIES CLAIMED.*

89 *No further statement or opinion from the expert shall be required for the purposes of this section. The*  
90 *plaintiff may have separate certifications for standard of care and causation. Each defendant who is the*  
91 *subject of an expert witness's certification shall be identified in the certification.*

92 B. This certification is not necessary if the plaintiff, in good faith, alleges a medical malpractice action  
93 that asserts a theory of liability where expert testimony is unnecessary because the alleged act of negligence  
94 clearly lies within the range of the jury's common knowledge and experience.

95 C. The certifying expert shall not be required to be an expert witness expected to testify at trial nor shall  
96 any defendant be entitled to discover the identity or qualifications of the certifying expert or the nature of the  
97 certifying expert opinions. Should the certifying expert be identified as an expert expected to testify at trial,  
98 the opinions and bases therefor shall be discoverable pursuant to Rule 4:1 of the Rules of Supreme Court of  
99 Virginia with the exception of the expert's status as a certifying expert.

100 ~~Upon written request of any D. Within 21 days of an answer being filed by a defendant upon whom~~  
101 ~~service of process has been requested, the plaintiff shall, within 10 business days after receipt of such~~  
102 ~~request, provide the certify to such defendant with a certification form that affirms that the plaintiff had~~  
103 ~~obtained the necessary certifying expert opinion at the time service was requested or affirms that the plaintiff~~  
104 ~~did not need to obtain a certifying expert witness opinion by including a statement that reads: THIS IS TO~~  
105 ~~CERTIFY THAT THE PLAINTIFF HAS COMPLIED WITH § 16.1-83.1 OF THE CODE OF VIRGINIA.~~ The  
106 court, upon good cause shown, may conduct an in camera review of the certifying expert opinion obtained by  
107 the plaintiff as the court may deem appropriate. If the plaintiff did not obtain a necessary certifying expert  
108 opinion at the time the plaintiff requested service of process on a defendant as required under this section, the  
109 court shall impose sanctions according to the provisions of § 8.01-271.1 and may dismiss the case with  
110 prejudice.