# **2025 SESSION**

	25102954D
1	SENATE BILL NO. 1214
2	Offered January 8, 2025
3	Prefiled January 8, 2025
4	A BILL to amend and reenact § 2.2-2007 of the Code of Virginia and to amend the Code of Virginia by
5	adding in Title 2.2 a chapter numbered 55.6, consisting of sections numbered 2.2-5517 through 2.2-5522,
6	relating to high-risk artificial intelligence; development, deployment, and use by public bodies; work
7	group; report.
8	
0	Patrons—Aird; Delegates: Maldonado, Bennett-Parker and Price
9 10	Referred to Committee on General Laws and Technology
10	Referred to Committee on General Laws and Technology
12	Be it enacted by the General Assembly of Virginia:
13	1. That § 2.2-2007 of the Code of Virginia is amended and reenacted and that the Code of Virginia is
14	amended by adding in Title 2.2 a chapter numbered 55.6, consisting of sections numbered 2.2-5517
15	through 2.2-5522, as follows:
16	§ 2.2-2007. Powers of the CIO.
17	A. The CIO shall promulgate regulations necessary or incidental to the performance of duties or execution
18	of powers conferred under this chapter. The CIO shall also develop policies, standards, and guidelines for the
19	planning, budgeting, procurement, development, maintenance, security, and operations of information
20	technology for executive branch agencies. Such policies, standards, and guidelines shall include those
21	necessary to:
22	1. Support state and local government exchange, acquisition, storage, use, sharing, and distribution of data
23 24	and related technologies. 2. Support the development of electronic transactions, including the use of electronic signatures as
24 25	provided in § 59.1-496.
26	3. Support a unified approach to information technology across the totality of state government, thereby
27	assuring that the citizens and businesses of the Commonwealth receive the greatest possible security, value,
28	and convenience from investments made in technology.
29	4. Ensure that the costs of information technology systems, products, data, and services are contained
30	through the shared use of existing or planned equipment, data, or services.
31	5. Provide for the effective management of information technology investments through their entire life
32	cycles, including identification, business case development, selection, procurement, implementation,
33	operation, performance evaluation, and enhancement or retirement. Such policies, standards, and guidelines
34 35	shall include, at a minimum, the periodic review by the CIO of agency Commonwealth information
35 36	<ul><li>technology projects.</li><li>6. Establish an Information Technology Investment Management Standard based on acceptable</li></ul>
30 37	technology investment methods to ensure that all executive branch agency technology expenditures are an
38	integral part of the Commonwealth's performance management system, produce value for the agency and the
39	Commonwealth, and are aligned with (i) agency strategic plans, (ii) the Governor's policy objectives, and (iii)
40	the long-term objectives of the Council on Virginia's Future.
41	B. In addition to other such duties as the Secretary may assign, the CIO shall:
42	1. Oversee and administer the Virginia Technology Infrastructure Fund created pursuant to § 2.2-2023.
43	2. Report annually to the Governor, the Secretary, and the Joint Commission on Technology and Science
44	created pursuant to § 30-85 on the use and application of information technology by executive branch
45 46	agencies to increase economic efficiency, citizen convenience, and public access to state government. 3. Prepare annually a report for submission to the Secretary, the Information Technology Advisory
40	Council, and the Joint Commission on Technology and Science on a prioritized list of Recommended
48	Technology Investment Projects (RTIP Report) based upon major information technology projects submitted
49	for business case approval pursuant to this chapter. As part of the RTIP Report, the CIO shall develop and
50	regularly update a methodology for prioritizing projects based upon the allocation of points to defined
51	criteria. The criteria and their definitions shall be presented in the RTIP Report. For each project
52	recommended for funding in the RTIP Report, the CIO shall indicate the number of points and how they were
53	awarded. For each listed project, the CIO shall also report (i) all projected costs of ongoing operations and
54	maintenance activities of the project for the next three biennia following project implementation; (ii) a
55 56	justification and description for each project baseline change; and (iii) whether the project fails to incorporate
56 57	existing standards for the maintenance, exchange, and security of data. This report shall also include trends in current projected information technology spanding by executive branch agencies and secretariate including
57 58	current projected information technology spending by executive branch agencies and secretariats, including spending on projects, operations and maintenance, and payments to VITA. Agencies shall provide all project
50	spending on projects, operations and maintenance, and payments to virrA. Agencies shan provide an project

85

and cost information required to complete the RTIP Report to the CIO prior to May 31 immediatelypreceding any budget biennium in which the project appears in the Governor's budget bill.

4. Provide oversight for executive branch agency efforts to modernize the planning, development,
 implementation, improvement, operations and maintenance, and retirement of Commonwealth information
 technology, including oversight for the selection, development and management of enterprise information
 technology.

5. Develop statewide technical and data standards and specifications for information technology and
related systems, including (i) the efficient exchange of electronic information and technology, including
infrastructure, between the public and private sectors in the Commonwealth and (ii) the utilization of
nationally recognized technical and data standards for health information technology systems or software
purchased by an executive branch agency.

6. Direct the compilation and maintenance of an inventory of information technology, including but notlimited to personnel, facilities, equipment, goods, and contracts for services.

72 7. Provide for the centralized marketing, provision, leasing, and executing of licensing agreements for 73 electronic access to public information and government services through the Internet, wireless devices, 74 personal digital assistants, kiosks, or other such related media on terms and conditions as may be determined to be in the best interest of the Commonwealth. VITA may fix and collect fees and charges for (i) public 75 76 information, media, and other incidental services furnished by it to any private individual or entity, 77 notwithstanding the charges set forth in § 2.2-3704, and (ii) such use and services it provides to any executive branch agency or local government. Nothing in this subdivision authorizing VITA to fix and collect fees for 78 79 providing information services shall be construed to prevent access to the public records of any public body 80 pursuant to the provisions of the Virginia Freedom of Information Act (§ 2.2-3700 et seq.). VITA is 81 authorized, subject to the approval by the Secretary of Administration and any other affected Secretariat, to 82 delegate the powers and responsibilities granted in this subdivision to any agency within the executive 83 branch. 84

8. Periodically evaluate the feasibility of outsourcing information technology resources and services, and outsource those resources and services that are feasible and beneficial to the Commonwealth.

86 9. Have the authority to enter into and amend contracts, including contracts with one or more other public
87 bodies, or public agencies or institutions or localities of the several states, of the United States or its
88 territories, or the District of Columbia, for the provision of information technology services.

89 10. Develop, publish, and maintain policies and procedures concerning the development, procurement, implementation, utilization, and ongoing assessment of systems that employ high-risk artificial intelligence 90 systems, as defined in § 2.2-5517, and are in use by public bodies, consistent with the provisions of Chapter 91 92 55.6 (§ 2.2-5517 et seq.). Such policies and procedures shall, at a minimum, (i) govern the procurement, 93 implementation, and ongoing assessment of any such system by a public body; (ii) address and provide resources regarding data security and privacy issues that may arise from the development and deployment of 94 95 high-risk artificial intelligence systems by public bodies; (iii) be sufficient to ensure that no such system results in any algorithmic discrimination, as defined in § 2.2-5517; (iv) create guidelines for acceptable use 96 policies for public bodies integrating high-risk artificial intelligence systems pursuant to § 2.2-5520; and (v)97 98 require a public body to assess the likely impact of any such system before implementing such system and 99 perform ongoing assessments of such system to ensure that no such system results in any such algorithmic 100 discrimination, as defined in § 2.2-5517. Such policies and procedures shall include a requirement that a high-risk artificial intelligence system compliance clause be included in procurement contracts for systems 101 that use a high-risk artificial intelligence system for which negotiation or renegotiation is begun on or after 102 103 July 1, 2026, requiring compliance with the provisions of Chapter 55.6 (§ 2.2-5517 et seq.) and any other applicable state law governing the development or deployment of high-risk artificial intelligence systems, as 104 105 applicable.

106 C. Consistent with § 2.2-2012, the CIO may enter into public-private partnership contracts to finance or implement information technology programs and projects. The CIO may issue a request for information to 107 seek out potential private partners interested in providing programs or projects pursuant to an agreement 108 under this subsection. The compensation for such services shall be computed with reference to and paid from 109 the increased revenue or cost savings attributable to the successful implementation of the program or project 110 for the period specified in the contract. The CIO shall be responsible for reviewing and approving the 111 programs and projects and the terms of contracts for same under this subsection. The CIO shall determine 112 annually the total amount of increased revenue or cost savings attributable to the successful implementation 113 of a program or project under this subsection and such amount shall be deposited in the Virginia Technology 114 Infrastructure Fund created in § 2.2-2023. The CIO is authorized to use moneys deposited in the Fund to pay 115 116 private partners pursuant to the terms of contracts under this subsection. All moneys in excess of that required 117 to be paid to private partners, as determined by the CIO, shall be reported to the Comptroller and retained in 118 the Fund. The CIO shall prepare an annual report to the Governor, the Secretary, and General Assembly on 119 all contracts under this subsection, describing each information technology program or project, its progress,

SB1214

120 revenue impact, and such other information as may be relevant.

D. Executive branch agencies shall cooperate with VITA in identifying the development and operational
 requirements of proposed information technology systems, products, data, and services, including the
 proposed use, functionality, and capacity, and the total cost of acquisition, operation, and maintenance.
 *CHAPTER 55.6.*

# USE OF HIGH-RISK ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE SYSTEMS.

126 § 2.2-5517. Definitions.

125

127

As used in this chapter, unless the context requires a different meaning:

"Algorithmic discrimination" means any discrimination that results in an unlawful differential treatment 128 129 or impact that disfavors an individual or group of individuals on the basis of their actual or perceived age, 130 color, disability, ethnicity, genetic information, limited proficiency in the English language, national origin, race, religion, reproductive health, sex, sexual orientation, veteran status, or other classification protected 131 under state or federal law. "Algorithmic discrimination" does not include (i) the offer, license, or use of a 132 133 high-risk artificial intelligence system by a developer, integrator, or deployer for the sole purpose of the 134 developer's, integrator's, or deployer's self-testing to identify, mitigate, or prevent discrimination or 135 otherwise ensure compliance with state and federal law or (ii) the expansion of an applicant, customer, or 136 participant pool to increase diversity or redress historical discrimination.

137 "Artificial intelligence" means a set of technologies that enables machines to perform tasks under varying
 138 and unpredictable circumstances that typically require human oversight or intelligence, or that can learn
 139 from experience and improve performance when exposed to data sets.

140 "Artificial intelligence system" means any machine-based system that, for any explicit or implicit
 141 objective, infers from the inputs such system receives how to generate outputs, including content, decisions,
 142 predictions, and recommendations, that can influence physical or virtual environments.

143 "Consequential decision" means any decision that has a material legal, or similarly significant, effect on
144 the provision or denial to any consumer of, or the cost or terms of, (i) education enrollment or an education
145 opportunity, (ii) employment or an employment opportunity, (iii) a financial or lending service, (iv) an
146 essential government service, (v) health care services, (vi) housing, (vii) insurance, or (viii) a legal service.

147 "Consumer" means a natural person acting only in an individual or household context. "Consumer" does not include a natural person acting in a commercial or employment context.

149 "Deployer" means any public body that deploys or uses a high-risk artificial intelligence system to make a
 150 consequential decision.

"Developer" means any public body that develops or intentionally and substantially modifies a high-risk
 artificial intelligence system that is offered, sold, leased, given, or otherwise provided to consumers in the
 Commonwealth.

154 "Foundation model" means a machine learning model that (i) is trained on broad data at scale, (ii) is
 155 designed for generality of output, and (iii) can be adapted to a wide range of distinctive tasks.

"General-purpose artificial intelligence model" means any form of artificial intelligence system that (i)
displays significant generality, (ii) is capable of competently performing a wide range of distinct tasks, and
(iii) can be integrated into a variety of downstream applications or systems. "General-purpose artificial
intelligence model" does not include any artificial intelligence model that is used for development,
prototyping, or research activities before such artificial intelligence model is released on the market.

161 "Generative artificial intelligence" means artificial intelligence based on a foundation model that is
 162 capable of and used to produce synthetic digital content, including audio, images, text, and videos.

"Generative artificial intelligence system" means any artificial intelligence system or service that
 incorporates generative artificial intelligence.

165 "High-risk artificial intelligence system" means any artificial intelligence system that is specifically 166 intended to autonomously make, or be a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision. A system or service is not a "high-risk artificial intelligence system" if it is intended to (i) perform a narrow procedural 167 task, (ii) improve the result of a previously completed human activity, (iii) detect decision-making patterns or 168 deviations from prior decision-making patterns and is not meant to replace or influence the previously 169 completed human assessment without sufficient human review, or (iv) perform a preparatory task to an 170 assessment relevant to a consequential decision. There is a rebuttable presumption that "high-risk artificial 171 172 intelligence system" does not include any of the following technologies:

173 1. Anti-fraud technology that does not use facial recognition technology;

174 2. Anti-malware technology;

175 *3. Anti-virus technology;* 

- 176 *4. Artificial intelligence-enabled video games;*
- **177** *5. Calculators;*
- 178 *6. Cybersecurity technology;*
- **179** *7. Databases;*
- **180** *8. Data storage;*
- **181** *9. Firewall technology;*

231

235

236

- 182 10. Internet domain registration;
- 183 11. Internet website loading;
- **184** *12. Networking;*
- 185 *13. Spam and robocall filtering;*
- 186 14. Spell-checking technology;
- **187** *15. Spreadsheets;*
- **188** *16. Web caching;*
- 189 17. Web hosting or any similar technology; or

190 18. Technology that communicates with consumers in natural language for the purpose of providing users
191 with information, making referrals or recommendations, and answering questions and is subject to an
192 accepted use policy that prohibits generating content that is discriminatory or harmful.

193 "Integrator" means a public body that knowingly integrates an artificial intelligence system into a
194 software application and places such software application on the market or makes such software application
195 available for public use. An "integrator" does not include a public body offering information technology
196 infrastructure.

"Intentional and substantial modification" means any deliberate change made to (i) an artificial 197 198 intelligence system that results in any new reasonably foreseeable risk of algorithmic discrimination or (ii) a 199 general-purpose artificial intelligence model that affects compliance of the general-purpose artificial intelligence model, materially changes the purpose of the general-purpose artificial intelligence model, or 200 results in any new reasonably foreseeable risk of algorithmic discrimination. "Intentional and substantial 201 modification" does not include any change made to a high-risk artificial intelligence system, or the 202 203 performance of a high-risk artificial intelligence system, if (a) the high-risk artificial intelligence system 204 continues to learn after such high-risk artificial intelligence system is offered, sold, leased, licensed, given, or otherwise made available to a deployer, or deployed, and (b) such change (1) is made to such high-risk 205 artificial intelligence system as a result of any learning described in clause (a), and (2) was predetermined by 206 the deployer or the third party contracted by the deployer when such deployer or third party completed the 207 initial impact assessment of such high-risk artificial intelligence system as required in § 2.2-5519. 208

209 "Machine learning" means the development of algorithms to build data-derived statistical models that are
 210 capable of drawing inferences from previously unseen data without explicit human instruction.

"Public body" means any authority, board, department, instrumentality, agency, or other unit of state
 government. "Public body" does not include any county, city, or town; or any local or regional governmental
 authority.

214 "Significant update" means any new version, new release, or other update to a high-risk artificial
215 intelligence system that results in significant changes to such high-risk artificial intelligence system's use
216 case or key functionality and that results in any new or reasonably foreseeable risk of algorithmic
217 discrimination.

"Substantial factor" means a factor that (i) assists in making a consequential decision, (ii) is capable of
altering the outcome of a consequential decision, and (iii) is generated by an artificial intelligence system.
"Substantial factor" includes any use of an artificial intelligence system to generate any content, decision,
prediction, or recommendation concerning a consumer that is used as a basis to make a consequential
decision concerning the consumer.

"Synthetic digital content" means any digital content, including any audio, image, text, or video, that is
 produced or manipulated by a generative artificial intelligence system, including a general-purpose artificial
 intelligence model.

"Trade secret" means information, including a formula, pattern, compilation, program, device, method,
technique, or process, that (i) derives independent economic value, actual or potential, from not being
generally known to, and not being readily ascertainable by proper means by, other persons who can obtain
economic value from its disclosure or use and (ii) is the subject of efforts that are reasonable under the
circumstances to maintain its secrecy.

### § 2.2-5518. Operating standards for public bodies developing high-risk artificial intelligence systems.

A. No developer of a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall offer, sell, lease, give, or otherwise
 provide to a deployer a high-risk artificial intelligence system unless the developer makes available to the
 deployer:

1. A statement disclosing the intended uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system;

2. Documentation disclosing the following:

a. The known or reasonably known limitations of such high-risk artificial intelligence system, including
any and all known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination arising from the intended
uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system;

b. The purpose of such high-risk artificial intelligence system and the intended benefits and uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system;

*c. A summary describing how such high-risk artificial intelligence system was evaluated for performance* 

243 and relevant information related to explainability before such high-risk artificial intelligence system was 244 licensed, sold, given, or otherwise made available to a developer;

d. The measures the developer has taken to mitigate reasonable foreseeable risks of algorithmic 245 246 discrimination that the developer knows arises from deployment or use of such high-risk artificial intelligence system; and 247

248 e. How an individual can use such high-risk artificial intelligence system to make, or monitor such 249 high-risk artificial intelligence system when such high-risk artificial intelligence system is deployed or used 250 to make, a consequential decision;

251 3. Documentation describing (i) how the high-risk artificial intelligence system was evaluated for performance and for mitigation of algorithmic discrimination before such system was made available to the 252 253 deployer; (ii) the data governance measures used to cover the training data sets and the measures used to 254 examine the suitability of data sources, possible biases of data sources, and appropriate mitigation; (iii) the 255 intended outputs of the high-risk artificial intelligence system; (iv) the measures the developer has taken to 256 mitigate known or reasonably foreseeable risks of algorithmic discrimination that may arise from the 257 reasonably foreseeable deployment of the high-risk artificial intelligence system; and (v) how the high-risk 258 artificial intelligence system should be used, not be used, and be monitored by an individual when such 259 system is used to make, or is a substantial factor in making, a consequential decision; and

260 4. Any additional documentation that is reasonably necessary to assist the deployer in understanding the outputs and monitoring performance of the high-risk artificial intelligence system for risks of algorithmic 261 262 discrimination.

263 B. Each developer that offers, sells, leases, gives, or otherwise makes available to a deployer a high-risk 264 artificial intelligence system shall make available to the deployer information and documentation in the 265 developer's possession, custody, or control that is reasonably required to complete an impact assessment as required in § 2.2-5519. 266

267 C. A developer that also serves as a deployer for any high-risk artificial intelligence system shall not be 268 required to generate the documentation required by this section unless such high-risk artificial intelligence 269 system is provided to an unaffiliated entity acting as a deployer or as otherwise required by law. 270

D. Nothing in this section shall be construed to require a developer to disclose any trade secret.

271 E. High-risk artificial intelligence systems that are in conformity with the latest version of the Artificial 272 Intelligence Risk Management Framework published by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, 273 Standard ISO/IEC 42001 of the International Organization for Standardization, or another nationally or 274 internationally recognized risk management framework for artificial intelligence systems, or parts thereof, 275 shall be presumed to be in conformity with related requirements set out in this section and in associated 276 regulations.

277 F. For any disclosure required pursuant to this section, each developer shall, no later than 90 days after 278 the developer performs an intentional and substantial modification to any high-risk artificial intelligence 279 system, update such disclosure as necessary to ensure that such disclosure remains accurate.

## § 2.2-5519. Operating standards for public bodies deploying high-risk artificial intelligence systems.

280 A. No deployer shall deploy or use a high-risk artificial intelligence system to make a consequential 281 282 decision unless the deployer has designed and implemented a risk management policy and program for such 283 high-risk artificial intelligence system. The risk management policy shall specify the principles, processes, 284 and personnel that the deployer shall use in maintaining the risk management program to identify, mitigate, 285 and document any risk of algorithmic discrimination that is a reasonably foreseeable consequence of 286 deploying or using such high-risk artificial intelligence system to make a consequential decision. Each risk 287 management policy and program designed, implemented, and maintained pursuant to this subsection shall be 288 (i) at least as stringent as the latest version of the Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework 289 published by the National Institute of Standards and Technology, Standard ISO/IEC 42001 of the 290 International Organization for Standardization, or another nationally or internationally recognized risk 291 management framework for artificial intelligence systems and (ii) reasonable considering (a) the size and 292 complexity of the deployer; (b) the nature and scope of the high-risk artificial intelligence systems deployed and used by the deployer, including the intended uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence systems; (c) the 293 294 sensitivity and volume of data processed in connection with the high-risk artificial intelligence systems 295 deployed and used by the deployer; and (d) the cost to the deployer to implement and maintain such risk 296 management program.

297 B. Except as provided in this subsection, no deployer shall deploy or use a high-risk artificial intelligence 298 system to make a consequential decision unless the deployer has completed an impact assessment for such 299 high-risk artificial intelligence system. The deployer shall complete an impact assessment for a high-risk 300 artificial intelligence system (i) before the deployer initially deploys such high-risk artificial intelligence 301 system and (ii) not later than 90 days after each significant update to such high-risk artificial intelligence 302 system is made available.

303 Each impact assessment completed pursuant to this subsection shall include, at a minimum:

304 1. A statement by the deployer disclosing (i) the purpose, intended use cases and deployment context of, SB1214

305 and benefits afforded by the high-risk artificial intelligence system and (ii) whether the deployment or use of 306 the high-risk artificial intelligence system poses a reasonably foreseeable risk of algorithmic discrimination 307 and, if so, (a) the nature of such algorithmic discrimination and (b) the steps that have been taken, to the

308 extent feasible, to mitigate such risk;

309 2. For each post-deployment impact assessment completed pursuant to this subsection, whether the 310 intended use cases of the high-risk artificial intelligence system as updated were consistent with, or varied 311 from, the developer's intended uses of such high-risk artificial intelligence system;

3. A description of (i) the categories of data the high-risk artificial intelligence system processes as inputs 312 and (ii) the outputs such high-risk artificial intelligence system produces; 313

314 4. If the deployer used data to customize the high-risk artificial intelligence system, an overview of the categories of data the deployer used to customize such high-risk artificial intelligence system; 315

316 5. A list of any metrics used to evaluate the performance and known limitations of the high-risk artificial 317 intelligence system;

318 6. A description of any transparency measures taken concerning the high-risk artificial intelligence system, including any measures taken to disclose to a consumer that such high-risk artificial intelligence 319 320 system is in use when such high-risk artificial intelligence system is in use; and

7. A description of any post-deployment monitoring performed and user safeguards provided concerning 321 322 such high-risk artificial intelligence system, including any oversight process established by the deployer to address issues arising from deployment or use of such high-risk artificial intelligence system as such issues 323 324 arise.

A single impact assessment may address a comparable set of high-risk artificial intelligence systems 325 326 deployed or used by a deployer. High-risk artificial intelligence systems that are in conformity with the latest version of the Artificial Intelligence Risk Management Framework published by the National Institute of 327 Standards and Technology, Standard ISO/IEC 42001 of the International Organization for Standardization, 328 329 or another nationally or internationally recognized risk management framework for artificial intelligence systems, or parts thereof, shall be presumed to be in conformity with related requirements set out in this 330 section and in associated regulations. If a deployer completes an impact assessment for the purpose of 331 332 complying with another applicable law or regulation, such impact assessment shall be deemed to satisfy the 333 requirements established in this subsection if such impact assessment is reasonably similar in scope and 334 effect to the impact assessment that would otherwise be completed pursuant to this subsection. A deployer 335 that completes an impact assessment pursuant to this subsection shall maintain such impact assessment and 336 all records concerning such impact assessment for five years.

C. Not later than the time that a deployer uses a high-risk artificial intelligence system to make a 337 338 consequential decision concerning a consumer, the deployer shall notify the consumer that the deployer is 339 using a high-risk artificial intelligence system to make such consequential decision concerning such 340 consumer and provide to the consumer a statement disclosing (i) the purpose of such high-risk artificial 341 intelligence system, (ii) the nature of such system, (iii) the nature of the consequential decision, (iv) the contact information for the deployer, and (v) a description in plain language of such system. 342

If such consequential decision is adverse to such consumer, the deployer shall provide to the consumer (a) 343 344 a statement disclosing the principal reason or reasons for the consequential decision, including (1) the degree to which and manner in which the high-risk artificial intelligence system contributed to the 345 consequential decision, (2) the type of data that was processed by such system in making the consequential 346 347 decision, and (3) the sources of such data; (b) an opportunity to correct any incorrect personal data that the 348 high-risk artificial intelligence system processed in making, or as a substantial factor in making, the 349 consequential decision; and (c) an opportunity to appeal such adverse consequential decision concerning the 350 consumer arising from the deployment of such system. Any such appeal shall allow for human review, if technically feasible, unless providing the opportunity for appeal is not in the best interest of the consumer, 351 352 including instances in which any delay might pose a risk to the life or safety of such consumer.

353 D. Each deployer shall make available, in a manner that is clear and readily available, a statement 354 summarizing how such deployer manages any reasonably foreseeable risk of algorithmic discrimination that 355 may arise from the use or deployment of the high-risk artificial intelligence system.

356 E. For any disclosure required pursuant to this section, each deployer shall, no later than 90 days after 357 the developer performs an intentional and substantial modification to any high-risk artificial intelligence 358 system, update such disclosure as necessary to ensure that such disclosure remains accurate. 359

## § 2.2-5520. Operating standards for public bodies integrating high-risk artificial intelligence systems.

360 Each integrator of a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall develop and adopt an acceptable use policy, which shall limit the use of the high-risk artificial intelligence system to mitigate known risks of 361 362 algorithmic discrimination.

363 Each integrator of a high-risk artificial intelligence system shall provide to the deployer clear, 364 conspicuous notice of (i) the name or other identifier of the high-risk artificial intelligence system integrated into a software application provided to the deployer; (ii) the name and contact information of the developer 365

366 of the high-risk artificial intelligence system integrated into a software application provided to the deployer; 367 (iii) whether the integrator has adjusted the model weights of the high-risk artificial intelligence system 368 integrated into the software application by exposing it to additional data, a summary of the adjustment 369 process, and how such process and the resulting system were evaluated for risk of algorithmic 370 discrimination; (iv) a summary of any other non-substantial modifications made by the integrator; and (v) the 371 integrator's acceptable use policy.

#### § 2.2-5521. Exemptions.

372

373 A. Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to restrict a developer's, integrator's, or deployer's ability to 374 (i) comply with federal, state, or municipal ordinances or regulations; (ii) comply with a civil, criminal, or 375 regulatory inquiry, investigation, subpoena, or summons by federal, state, local, or other governmental 376 authorities; (iii) cooperate with law-enforcement agencies concerning conduct or activity that the developer, 377 integrator, or deployer reasonably and in good faith believes may violate federal, state, or local law, 378 ordinances, or regulations; (iv) investigate, establish, exercise, prepare for, or defend legal claims; (v)379 provide a product or service specifically requested by a consumer; (vi) perform under a contract to which a 380 consumer is a party, including fulfilling the terms of a written warranty; (vii) take steps at the request of a 381 consumer prior to entering into a contract; (viii) take immediate steps to protect an interest that is essential 382 for the life or physical safety of the consumer or another individual; (ix) prevent, detect, protect against, or 383 respond to security incidents, identity theft, fraud, harassment, or malicious or deceptive activities; (x) take 384 actions to prevent, detect, protect against, report, or respond to the production, generation, incorporation, or 385 synthesization of child sex abuse material, or any illegal activity, preserve the integrity or security of systems, 386 or investigate, report, or prosecute those responsible for any such action; (xi) engage in public or 387 peer-reviewed scientific or statistical research in the public interest that adheres to all other applicable 388 ethics and privacy laws and is approved, monitored, and governed by an institutional review board that 389 determines, or similar independent oversight entities that determine, (a) that the expected benefits of the 390 research outweigh the risks associated with such research and (b) whether the developer, integrator, or 391 deployer has implemented reasonable safeguards to mitigate the risks associated with such research; (xii) assist another developer, integrator, or deployer with any of the obligations imposed by this chapter; or (xiii) 392

393 take any action that is in the public interest in the areas of public health, community health, or population 394 health, but solely to the extent that such action is subject to suitable and specific measures to safeguard the 395 public.

396 B. The obligations imposed on developers, integrators, or deployers by this chapter shall not restrict a 397 developer's, integrator's, or deployer's ability to (i) conduct internal research to develop, improve, or repair 398 products, services, or technologies; (ii) effectuate a product recall; (iii) identify and repair technical errors 399 that impair existing or intended functionality; or (iv) perform internal operations that are reasonably aligned 400 with the expectations of the consumer or reasonably anticipated based on the consumer's existing 401 relationship with the developer, integrator, or deployer.

C. Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to impose any obligation on a developer, integrator, or 402 403 deployer to disclose trade secrets.

D. The obligations imposed on developers, integrators, or deployers by this chapter shall not apply where 404 405 compliance by the developer, integrator, or deployer with such obligations would violate an evidentiary 406 privilege under the laws of the Commonwealth.

407 E. Nothing in this chapter shall be construed to impose any obligation on a developer, integrator, or 408 deployer that adversely affects the legally protected rights or freedoms of any person, including the rights of 409 any person to freedom of speech or freedom of the press guaranteed in the First Amendment to the 410 Constitution of the United States or under the Virginia Human Rights Act (§ 2.2-3900 et seq.).

411 F. If a developer, integrator, or deployer engages in any action authorized by an exemption set forth in this section, the developer, integrator, or deployer bears the burden of demonstrating that such action 412 413 qualifies for such exemption. 414

#### § 2.2-5522. Additional requirements.

415 A. A public body shall not implement any system that employs high-risk artificial intelligence systems 416 unless it has fulfilled the requirements of this section and complied with the provisions of this chapter and the 417 high-risk artificial intelligence policies and procedures developed by the Chief Information Officer of the 418 Commonwealth pursuant to subdivision B 10 of § 2.2-2007.

419 B. A public body procuring any system that employs high-risk artificial intelligence systems shall in all 420 future contracts for the procurement of such systems for which negotiation or renegotiation is begun on or after July 1, 2026, include a high-risk artificial intelligence system compliance clause, as developed by the 421 422 *Chief Information Officer of the Commonwealth pursuant to § 2.2-2007.* 

423 C. Prior to implementing any system that employs high-risk artificial intelligence systems, the public body 424 shall comply with the impact assessment requirements of § 2.2-5519. A public body shall additionally 425 perform ongoing assessments of such system after implementation. If the public body, or the head of the

426 public body, determines, in its discretion, that such system does not comply with such requirements, the SB1214

427 public body shall not implement such system or shall cease to use such system to the extent such system does
428 not comply with such requirements.

D. All public bodies that implement high-risk artificial intelligence systems shall annually report on initial
and ongoing system assessments and provide an inventory of such systems used. Public bodies in the
legislative branch shall submit such report and inventory to the General Assembly. Public bodies in the
judicial branch shall submit such report and inventory to the Executive Secretary of the Supreme Court of
Virginia. Public bodies in the executive branch and any other public bodies not specified in this subsection
shall submit such report and inventory to the Chief Information Officer of the Commonwealth. Such report

435 and inventory shall be transmitted to the appropriate entity annually.

436 2. That the Chief Information Officer of the Commonwealth (CIO) shall convene a work group to

437 examine the impact on and the ability of local governments to comply with the requirements of this act.

438 The work group shall consist of a representative from the Virginia Association of Counties who is also

439 a representative of a member county, a representative from the Virginia Municipal League who is also 440 a representative of a member locality, a representative of the Virginia Association of Chiefs of Police, a

441 representative from the Virginia Association of Commonwealth's Attorneys, the chief information

442 officer of a school division, the chief information officer of a county, the chief information officer of a

443 city, a representative from the Department of Human Resource Management, a representative of a

444 regional technology council, a member of the Joint Commission on Technology and Science (JCOTS)

445 who is a member of the House of Delegates, and a member of JCOTS who is a member of the Senate.

446 The CIO shall submit a report of the work group's findings to JCOTS no later than December 1, 2025.

447 3. That the provisions of the first enactment of this act shall become effective on July 1, 2026.